Selenium bioavailability: current knowledge and future research requirements^{1–5}

Susan J Fairweather-Tait, Rachel Collings, and Rachel Hurst

ABSTRACT

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

Information on selenium bioavailability is required to derive dietary recommendations and to evaluate and improve the quality of food products. The need for robust data is particularly important in light of recent suggestions of potential health benefits associated with different intakes of selenium. The issue is not straightforward, however, because of large variations in the selenium content of foods (determined by a combination of geologic/environmental factors and selenium supplementation of fertilizers and animal feedstuffs) and the chemical forms of the element, which are absorbed and metabolized differently. Although most dietary selenium is absorbed efficiently, the retention of organic forms is higher than that of inorganic forms. There are also complications in the assessment and quantification of selenium species within foodstuffs. Often, extraction is only partial, and the process can alter the form or forms present in the food. Efforts to improve, standardize, and make more widely available techniques for species quantification are required. Similarly, reliable and sensitive functional biomarkers of selenium status are required, together with improvements in current biomarker methods. This requirement is particularly important for the assessment of bioavailability, because some functional biomarkers respond differently to the various selenium species. The effect of genotype adds a potential further dimension to the process of deriving bioavailability estimates and underlines the need for further research to facilitate the process of deriving dietary recommendations in the future. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91(suppl):1484S-91S.

INTRODUCTION

To derive selenium requirements and establish dietary recommendations for optimal health, estimates of selenium bioavailability are needed. A literature review on the bioavailability of selenium from foods was published in 2006 (1), and it highlights the dependence of bioavailability on food sources associated with different forms of selenium and emphasizes the importance of the assessment of bioavailability with the use of functional assays. Data on chemical speciation and metabolic transformations (in conjunction with information on the relation between selenium intake and status and health outcomes) are required to assess selenium bioavailability and the longer-term health consequences that result from different intakes.

DIETARY REQUIREMENTS

The 1991 UK Dietary Reference Values (2) used data from older literature and estimated that between 55% and 65% of

dietary selenium is absorbed. The 1993 Population Reference Intakes published by the European Scientific Committee for Food (3) concluded that for selenium "all usual dietary forms are absorbed quite efficiently." The 2000 report of the US Food and Nutrition Board (4) suggested that most dietary selenium is highly bioavailable: >90% of selenomethionine is absorbed; selenocysteine appears to be absorbed very well; \approx 100% of selenate is absorbed, but a significant fraction is lost in the urine; and >50% of selenite is absorbed (depending on luminal interactions) and is better retained than selenate. There is clearly a need to review dietary recommendations in light of more recent data, in particular, information on dietary forms of selenium and the relationships between intake and health outcomes.

SELENIUM SPECIATION

A recent review (5) provides information on the forms of selenium in food and associated health effects; technical approaches used for speciation have also been reviewed recently (6, 7). The analysis of forms of selenium in food is a challenging task; there are currently no methods that can reliably extract 100% of the selenium from foods without potentially affecting the species, and the techniques are established in only a few laboratories worldwide. Therefore, care has to be taken to extract as much selenium as possible while still retaining the form that is present in the food as consumed; conditions that are devised to maximize the extraction of selenium from a food matrix may cause changes in chemical form. Ideally, the measurements should be made in food that has gone through processing (eg, cooking) followed by simulated gastrointestinal digestion, be-

First published online March 3, 2010; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.28674J.

¹ From the School of Medicine, Health Policy and Practice, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom.

² Presented at the workshop "Micronutrient Bioavailability: Priorities and Challenges for Setting Dietary Reference Values," held in Barcelona, Spain, 11–12 June 2009.

³ This article does not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission of the European Communities and in no way anticipates future policy in this area.

⁴ Supported by the Commission of the European Communities, specific RTD Programme "Quality of Life and Management of Living Resources," within the 6th Framework Programme (contract no. FP6-036196-2 EURRECA: EURopean micronutrient RECommendations Aligned).

⁵ Address correspondence to SJ Fairweather-Tait, School of Medicine, Health Policy & Practice, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom. E-mail: s.fairweather-tait@uea.ac.uk.

cause this is the form present in the lumen of the gut that is of interest. Although it has not been possible to produce comprehensive data that describe forms of selenium in food, there are limited data on the percentage distribution of different species (expressed as percentage of extractible or total selenium); examples are given in **Table 1**.

The selenium content and species of both plant and animal foodstuffs depend on environmental conditions, in particular, the quantity and species of selenium to which the animal/plant is exposed (6, 24). Selenomethionine is predominant in cereals, and selenium concentrations vary from 0.01 to 0.55 μ g/g fresh weight (5), whereas in other plant foods the content is generally lower, with the exception of Brazil nuts and vegetables, which are selenium-accumulating plants, namely those in the allium and brassica families. The selenium content of Brazil nuts varies depending on soil content and other environmental factors, and nuts from trees in the central part of Brazil contain ≤ 10 times more selenium than those from West Brazil (6). The reason for the high content of selenium in Brazil nuts is that the proteins are high in sulfur-containing amino acids, and selenomethionine can nonspecifically replace methionine. The major species in non-selenium-accumulating plant foods are selenate and selenomethionine, plus smaller amounts of selenocysteine. In contrast, the predominant form of selenium in selenium-accumulating plants is γ -glutamyl methylselenocysteine (13, 14). There are limited data on the forms of selenium in animal foodstuffs, but it appears that the major forms are selenomethionine and selenocysteine, which are incorporated nonspecifically into muscle protein (19). In addition, selenate and selenite have been detected in fish (18, 20) and there appear to be large differences between fish species in relation to selenoproteins (25). In foods of animal origin, supplementation with organic compared with inorganic selenium results in meat of higher selenium concentration. For example, when a comparison is made between the effect of selenium yeast and sodium selenite supplements, skeletal muscle from lambs contained 0.12 and 0.08 μ g selenium/g fresh weight, respectively (26), and beef contained 0.41 and 0.30 mg/kg dry weight, respectively (27).

ABSORPTION, RETENTION, AND METABOLISM

Data on selenium metabolism from different foods and selenium supplements indicate differences in the absorption and use of selenium between inorganic and organic forms in humans (28, 29) and rats (30). The absorptive pathways have not yet been fully characterized, but selenium as selenate or selenite appears to be very well absorbed but less well retained in the body than organic forms of selenium, such as selenomethionine and selenocysteine (31-33). The proposed metabolic pathways for different forms of selenium are shown in Figure 1 (5). Most forms of selenium are efficiently absorbed, but subsequent metabolism depends on the form in which they are present in plasma. Selenomethionine, selenocysteine, selenate, and selenite enter the selenide pool and from here the selenium is either used for selenoprotein synthesis or excreted in the urine as a selenosugar. Selenomethionine can, however, also be incorporated directly (and nonspecifically) into proteins through the replacement of methionine. A separate pathway is followed by the organic compound, y-glutamyl methylselenocysteine, found in brassica and allium vegetables, whereby it is first converted to Se-methylselenocysteine and

then transformed by β -lyase into methylselenol, which is primarily excreted in breath and urine but may also enter the selenide pool.

Several approaches have been used to measure the bioavailability of selenium in various foods, as summarized in Table 2. These include the measurement of changes in plasma selenium concentration, measurement of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) enzyme activity, and absorption/retention studies. For the last, intrinsic techniques with the use of stable isotopes of selenium have been developed to label the endogenous forms of selenium in foods (40). In general, selenium is absorbed efficiently, but it is not possible to assign specific figures for retention and use (bioavailability) to individual forms of selenium because of the complexity of many foods (Table 1). However, a study by Bügel et al (39), on the assumption that selenomethionine is the major form in meat, showed that most of the selenium was absorbed and just over half retained in the body (ie, not excreted in the urine). Selenium in Brazil nuts appeared to be better used than selenomethionine, in terms of the response of plasma selenium concentration and red blood cell GPx activities: the plasma selenium increase was similar despite the fact that the daily intake from Brazil nuts was half that from selenomethionine (35). Changes in selenium status that reflect changes in intake occur over a period of several weeks or months, although the feeding trial of Hawkes et al (38) showed a significant difference between a beef, rice, and powdered milk diet with low selenium content and one with high selenium content after only 14 d. In a study by Kirby et al (11), the plasma selenium response in a feeding trial appeared to be related to the form of selenium in wheat flour biscuits: intake of selenomethionine in biofortified wheat-biscuits resulted in a greater increase in plasma selenium after 6 mo than the oxidized selenomethionine (selenomethionine selenoxide) in fortified biscuits (Table 2).

FUNCTIONAL MEASURES

There are 25 known selenoprotein genes in humans (41, 42), which encode selenoproteins with a variety of functions, as summarized in Table 3. Several of the selenoproteins, which include selenoproteins P and W and the GPx 1, 3, and 4, have been used widely as biomarkers of selenium status. Functional biomarkers are only useful if they can be measured in readily accessible tissues, such as blood. At present, the most promising biomarker appears to be selenoprotein P, which appears to reach a plateau after 2-4 wk of supplementation (88, 89) and is well correlated with plasma selenium across a wide range of selenium status (90), up to a plasma selenium concentration of \approx 125 ng/mL (33). Selenoprotein P typically accounts for approximately half of the selenium in plasma (46). It is generally more sensitive than other selenoproteins, such as GPx, in both deficiency (90) and after supplementation (89-91), and, in addition, the response of selenoprotein P to different forms of selenium appears to be similar (92).

Biomarkers of selenium status have recently been the subject of a systematic review (93), in which the response of each biomarker to either depletion or supplementation (only studies that intervened with selenomethionine or selenium-enriched yeast were included) was assessed and evaluated for different population groups. However, for most biomarkers there was

1486S

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

X

FAIRWEATHER-TAIT ET AL

TABLE 1

Examples of forms of selenium (percentage of total or extractable selenium) in foods

Food (reference)	Typical selenium content ¹	Forms of selenium
	µg/g fresh weight	
Selenium-enriched yeast (5, 8)	1200-2200	60-84% Selenomethionine, usual percentage in high-quality
		commercial preparation of selenium-enriched yeast but
		values for selenomethionine content can vary:
		23-83% Selenomethionine
		3-21% Selenocysteine
		$1-20\% \gamma$ -Glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine
		4% Selenate
		13–51% Other forms
Brazil nuts (Bertholletia excelsa) (9)	2.54 (0.85-6.86)	$\approx 25\%$ Selenomethionine
Wheat (8, 10)	0.1–30	12–19% Selenate/ite
	0.08–44	56-83% Selenomethionine
		4-12% Selenocysteine
		1-4% Se-methylselenocysteine
		$\approx 55\%$ Selenomethionine
Wheat (biofortified) (11)	8.3	76–85% Selenomethionine
Wheat-flour (biofortified) biscuits (11)	4.4	76–85% Selenomethionine
Wheat flour (unfortified) soaked in aqueous solution of	8.5	55% Selenomethionine selenoxide
selenomethionine and baked into biscuits (11)	0.5	5% Selenomethionine
Broccoli (selenium enriched) (12)	62.3^2	45% Se-methylselenocysteine
Bioccon (scienium enneneu) (12)	02.3	
		20% Selenate 20% Selenate
Onions (Allium cons)(12)	< 0.5	12% Selenomethionine 100% Selenate (extractable selenium)
Onions (Allium cepa) (13)		
Onions (selenium enriched) (13)	140	$63\% \gamma$ -Glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine
		10% Selenate
	.0.5	5% Selenomethionine
Garlic (Allium sativum) (13)	<0.5	53% Selenomethionine
		31% γ -Glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine
		12% Se-methylselenocysteine
	201	4% Selenate
Garlic (selenium enriched) (14)	296	73% γ-Glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine
		(total eluted selenium)
		13% Selenomethionine
		$4\% \gamma$ -Glutamyl-selenomethionine
		3% Se-methylselenocysteine
		2% Selenate
Lentils (Lens culinaris L.) (15)	0.24–0.36	90% Organic selenium
		10% Selenate
Carrots (16)	< 0.05	Undetectable
Carrots (selenium enriched) (16)	0.4–2.2	Selenium-enriched with the use of selenate (% extractable):
		\approx 54% Selenomethionine
		32% Selenate
		$\approx 14\% \gamma$ -Glutamyl-selenomethionine
		Selenium-enriched with the use of selenite:
		\approx 71% Selenomethionine
		17% Selenite
		$\approx 12\% \gamma$ -Glutamyl-selenomethionine
Potatoes (17)	0.12	50% Selenomethionine (extractable)
		50% Selenate (extractable)
Shellfish (18)	0.36-1.33	7.6–44.8% Selenate
Cod (19, 20)	1.5	70% Selenomethionine
		12% Selenite
Tuna (canned in water) (21)	5.6	29% Selenomethionine (extractable)
Shark (21)	2.0	56% Selenomethionine (extractable)
Swordfish (22)	Not quantified	Selenomethionine, selenenyl sulfide, selenite
Chicken (23)	0.5	56–66% Selenomethionine (extractable)
	0.0	20–31% Selenocysteine (extractable)
Lamb (23)	0.4	56–60% Selenomethionine (extractable)

¹ Values are means and/or ranges. ² μ g/g dry weight.



FIGURE 1. Metabolic pathway of dietary selenium in humans. Se, selenium; SeMet, selenomethionine; SeCys, selenocysteine; GSSeSG, selenodiglutathione; γ -glutamyl-CH₃SeCys, γ -glutamyl-Se-methylselenocysteine; H₂Se, hydrogen selenide; HSePO₃²⁻, selenophosphate; CH₃SeCys, Se-methylselenocysteine; CH₃SeH, methylselenol; (CH₃)₂Se, dimethyl selenide; SeO₂, selenium dioxide; (CH₃)₃Se⁺, trimethyl selenonium ion. Reproduced with permission from reference 5.

a paucity of data for meaningful subgroup or dose-response analysis. In the included studies plasma selenium was the most commonly measured biomarker, and it responded positively to intervention, as did whole-blood and erythrocyte selenium, plasma selenoprotein P, and platelet, plasma, erythrocyte and whole-blood GPx activity, albeit with significant heterogeneity in each case. The review concluded that further large-scale interventions are required to assess the usefulness of selenium-

responsive biomarkers, and these could conceivably include aspects of speciation. Plasma selenium concentration reflects dietary exposure to most forms of selenium, but in the absence of a well-described homeostatic regulation there is no absolute plateau, although the concentration will reach a steady state at any constant level of intake after $\approx 10-12$ wk (33, 91, 92, 94–97). In addition to dose, the plasma response to dietary selenium is species dependent, so consumption of 2 different forms may result in different plasma selenium concentrations (33, 92, 95, 96, 98, 99).

EFFECT OF GENOTYPE

The response by individuals to 6 wk of selenium supplementation with 100 μ g sodium selenite/d has been shown to be influenced by genetic polymorphisms in the selenoprotein P gene (SEPP) (100) and GPX4 gene (101). Biomarkers that are commonly used to assess selenium bioavailability (plasma selenium, selenoprotein P, and GPx3) were associated with 2 common single nucleotide polymorphisms in SEPP in both baseline and postsupplementation samples (100). The GPX4 polymorphism was shown to influence lymphocyte GPx4 concentration and other selenoproteins in vivo (101). A single nucleotide polymorphism in GPx1 (Pro198Leu) was associated with selenium deficiency and impaired GPx1 activity (102) and also may be associated with a different response of GPx1 activity to selenium (103). This observation raises the issue of whether common polymorphisms in selenoprotein genes, such as SEPP, GPX1, GPX4, and selenoprotein S (SELS) (92), will

TABLE 2 Bioavailability of selenium from various foods¹

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

Food (reference)	Technique used	Results
Selenium (Se)-yeast, 300 µg/d for 10 wk,	Absorption from stable isotopically labeled material	89%
then single dose of ⁷⁷ Se-yeast (34)	$(327 \ \mu g \ \text{selenium})$	74%
	Retention (absorption minus urinary excretion)	
Brazil nuts, 53 μ g/d for 3 mo (35)	Plasma selenium increase	64.2%
	Plasma GPx increase	8.2%
	Whole-blood GPx increase	13.2%
Selenomethionine, 100 μ g/d for 3 mo (35)	Plasma selenium increase	61%
	Plasma GPx increase	3.4%
	Whole-blood GPx increase	5.3%
Biofortified wheat-flour biscuits, mean intake 172 μ g/d for 6 mo (11)	Plasma selenium increase after 6-mo feeding trial	$72-\mu g/L$ increase
Fortified wheat-flour biscuits, mean intake 208 μ g/d for 6 mo (11)	Plasma selenium increase after 6-mo feeding trial	$16-\mu g/L$ increase
Basal diet, 52 μ g selenium + cow milk,	Fractional absorption in ileostomists	65.5%
15 μ g selenium (36)		73.3%
Shrimp, 88 μ g/d for 6 wk (37)	Plasma selenium increase	$6.3-\mu g/L$ increase
	Apparent absorption	83%
Beef, rice, and powdered milk , 14 μ g/d (low)	Plasma selenium change	$-40 \ \mu g/L$ (low); 97 $\mu g/L$ (high)
compared with 297 μ g /d (high) for 14 d (38)	Muscle selenium	$-0.37 \ \mu$ g/g protein (low); 0.57 μ g/g
	Platelet GPx	protein (high)
	Red blood cell selenium	-120 nkat/g protein (low); 100 nkat/g
	Red blood cell GPx	protein (high)
		$-42 \ \mu g/L$ (low); 106 $\mu g/L$ (high)
		-15 nkat/g protein (low); 13 nkat/g protein (high)
Pork, 106 μ g/d for 3 wk; 7 d metabolic	Apparent absorption	94%
balance in final week (39)	Retention	58%

¹ GPx, glutathione peroxidase; nkat, nanokatal.

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

×

FAIRWEATHER-TAIT ET AL

TABLE 3

Summary of human selenoproteins and their functions¹

Group/name (reference)	Abbreviation(s)	Location	Main functions
Glutathione peroxidases			
Glutathione peroxidase-1 (43)	GPx1, cGPx, GSH-Px	Widely expressed throughout the body, intracellular enzyme	Cystolic enzyme, antioxidant activity
Glutathione peroxidase-2 (44)	GPx2,GI-GPx	Mainly in gastrointestinal tissue, also in liver	Protection of GI tract from oxidative damage
Glutathione peroxidase-3 (45)	GPx3, eGPx	Plasma [accounts for 10–30% selenium in plasma (46)] and extracellular fluid, expressed in liver, kidney, heart, lung, thyroid, GI tract, and breast (47)	Plasma antioxidant, can decrease lipid hydroperoxides (48)
Glutathione peroxidase-4	GPx4, PHGPx	Widely expressed, high expression in the testes (49, 50); cytosolic and membrane-bound forms (51, 52)	Antioxidant activity, protects membranes from peroxidative degradation (51); can decrease phospholipid, cholesterol and cholesterol ester hydroperoxides to less toxic derivatives (52); protection against oxidatively damaged DNA (53); regulation of 15-lipoxygenase pathway (54) and 5- lipoxygenase (55); important for male fertility and sperm maturation/function/ motility (56–59)
Glutathione peroxidase-6 (41) Thioredoxin reductases	GPx6	Embryo and olfactory epithelium	Unknown
Thioredoxin reductase-1 (60, 61) Thioredoxin reductase-2	TrxR-1, TR1, Txnrd1 TrxR-2, TR2	Intracellular (cytosolic/nuclear), widely distributed Mitochondrial, widely distributed	Regulation of intracellular redox state, cell signaling; decreases thioredoxin Regulation of intracellular redox state;
(60, 61)	11xK-2, 1K2	wheelohanal, wheely distributed	decreases thioredoxin
Thioredoxin reductase-3 (60, 61)	TrxR-3, TR3	Testis-specific	Regulation of intracellular redox state
Iodothyronine deiodinases Iodothyronine 5' deiodinase-1, type 1	DIO-1, DI1, 5'IDI	Kidney, liver, thyroid, and brown adipose tissue (62–64)	Thyroid hormone metabolism, converts inactive thyroxine to active 3,3' - 5' triiodothyronine; activation of thyroid hormones (65)
Iodothyronine 5' deiodinase-2, type 2 (63, 66, 67)	DIO-2, DI2	Thyroid, CNS, pituitary, brown adipose tissue, skeletal muscle	Activation of thyroid hormones
Iodothyronine 5 deiodinase-3, type 3 (68) Selenoproteins and other	DIO-3, DI3	Placenta, CNS, fetus	Inactivation of thyroid hormones
Selenoprotein-P (69, 70)	SelP, Sepp1	Plasma [accounts for 30–50% of selenium in plasma (46, 71)] and also ubiquitously expressed in most tissues; high expression in brain, liver, and testes	Selenium homeostasis (72) and transport of selenium to tissues; antioxidant activity and decrease of lipid hydroperoxides (73)
Selenoprotein-W (74, 75)	SelW	Most tissues, abundant in brain, colon, heart, skeletal muscle, and prostate	Involved in skeletal and cardiac muscle metabolism/function, antioxidant function
Selenoprotein-N	SelN	Most tissues, ubiquitous expression, transmembrane glycoprotein associated with endoplasmic reticulum (76, 77)	Unknown, may be important in muscle and development (76)
Selenoprotein-S	SelS	Membrane protein, located in the endoplasmic reticulum, widely expressed	Inflammatory response, regulation of inflammatory cytokines (interleukin 1 β and 6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha) (78), removal of misfolded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (79)
Selenoprotein-K (80)	SelK	Membrane protein, localized to endoplasmic reticulum	Possible antioxidant activity
Selenoprotein-R (81)	SelR / MsrB1	Cytosol and nucleus; widely expressed	Antioxidant, protein repair and methionine metabolism (82)
Selenoprotein-H (83)	SelH	Widely expressed in tissues, localized to the nucleus	DNA binding protein, regulation of glutathione synthesis genes, and phase II detoxification
Selenoprotein-I (41)	SelI	Unknown	Unknown

(Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Group/name (reference)	Abbreviation(s)	Location	Main functions
Selenoprotein-M (84)	SelM	Localized in the endoplasmic reticulum	Protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum, antioxidant activity
Selenoprotein-O (41)	SelO	Unknown	Unknown
Selenoprotein-T (41)	SelT	Unknown	Unknown
Selenoprotein-V (41)	SelV	Testes	Unknown
15 kD selenoprotein (85)	Sel15	Localized in the endoplasmic reticulum	Thioredoxin-like, role in unfolded protein response (86)
Selenophosphate synthetase-2	SPS-2	Unknown	Selenoprotein biosynthesis (87)

¹ The list of selenoproteins that contain selenocysteine was generated from information on the selenoprotein database SelenoDB (42). Glutathione peroxidases 5, 7, and 8 (GPx5, GPx7, GPx8); selenoproteins R2, R3, and W2 (SelR2, SelR3, SelW2); selenium-binding protein 2 (SBP2); selenophosphate synthetase1 (SPS1); and eukaryotic elongation factor (eEFSec) are not listed in the table of selenoproteins because they are homologs that contain cysteine or other amino acids that do not contain selenocysteine (SelenoDB). CNS, central nervous system; GI, gastrointestinal.

have a significant effect on the metabolism of dietary selenium and will generate different figures for bioavailability. However, it is most likely that the effect of genotype on the biomarkers used to predict bioavailability is subtle and only becomes relevant when longer-term health outcomes are considered (104–106).

RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS

The bioavailability of different selenium species requires further investigation with the use of stable isotope labels, and the mechanism of absorption of the different forms of selenium needs to be elucidated. Further data on selenium species in food are required, but with the well-known extraction constraints it will not be possible to generate comprehensive information for all foods; therefore, dietary intervention studies may be required to study foods that make a major contribution to selenium intake. The native forms of selenium need to be labeled intrinsically with stable isotopes of selenium to measure uptake and retention of food selenium in acute studies, and longer-term studies need to be undertaken to measure changes in functional biomarkers; the most promising at present is selenoprotein P, but other novel biomarkers should be sought. Interactions between selenium and other micronutrients, such as vitamin E, should be taken into consideration [possibly with the use of a network biology approach (107)], particularly in relation to health outcomes that are associated with antioxidant nutrients, such as inflammation. Finally, the effect of common selenoprotein gene polymorphisms on metabolism (and hence requirements) remains to be clarified.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—SJF-T: first draft of the manuscript; and RC and RH: contribution of sections to the manuscript draft. All authors approved the final manuscript. The authors had no personal or financial conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Finley JW. Bioavailability of selenium from foods. Nutr Rev 2006;64: 146–51.
- Department of Health. Dietary Reference Values for food energy and nutrients for the United Kingdom. London, United Kingdom: HMSO, 1991.
- 3. Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food. Nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community. Luxembourg: Commission of the European Communities, 1993.

- Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes: vitamin C, vitamin E, selenium, and carotenoids. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2000.
- Rayman MP, Infante HG, Sargent M. Food-chain selenium and human health: spotlight on speciation. Br J Nutr 2008;100:238–53.
- Dumont E, Vanhaecke F, Cornelis R. Selenium speciation from food source to metabolites: a critical review. Anal Bioanal Chem 2006;385: 1304–23.
- Pedrero Z, Madrid Y. Novel approaches for selenium speciation in foodstuffs and biological specimens: a review. Anal Chim Acta 2009; 634:135–52.
- Whanger PD. Selenocompounds in plants and animals and their biological significance. J Am Coll Nutr 2002;21:223–32.
- Barclay MNI, MacPherson A, Dixon J. Selenium concentration of a range of UK foods. J Food Compost Anal 1995;8:307–18.
- Wolf WR, Goldschmidt RJ. Updated estimates of the selenomethionine content of NIST wheat reference materials by GC-IDMS. Anal Bioanal Chem 2007;387:2449–52.
- Kirby JK, Lyons GH, Karkkainen MP. Selenium speciation and bioavailability in biofortified products using species-unspecific isotope dilution and reverse phase ion pairing-inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry. J Agric Food Chem 2008;56:1772–9.
- Finley JW, Ip C, Lisk DJ, Davis CD, Hintze KJ, Whanger PD. Cancer-protective properties of high-selenium broccoli. J Agric Food Chem 2001;49:2679–83.
- Kotrebai M, Tyson JF, Uden PC, Birringer M, Block E. Selenium speciation in enriched and natural samples by HPLC-ICP-MS and HPLC-ESI-MS with perfluorinated carboxylic acid ion-pairing agents. Analyst 2000;125:71–8.
- Ip C, Birringer M, Block E, et al. Chemical speciation influences comparative activity of selenium-enriched garlic and yeast in mammary cancer prevention. J Agric Food Chem 2000;48:2062–70.
- Thavarajah D, Vandenberg A, George GN, Pickering IJ. Chemical form of selenium in naturally selenium-rich lentils (*Lens culinaris* L.) from Saskatchewan. J Agric Food Chem 2007;55:7337–41.
- Kapolná E, Hillestrøm PR, Laursen KH, Husted S, Larsen EH. Effect of foliar application of selenium on its uptake and speciation in carrot. Food Chem 2009;115:1357–63.
- Cuderman P, Kreft I, Germ M, Kovačevič M, Stibilj V. Selenium species in selenium-enriched and drought-exposed potatoes. J Agric Food Chem 2008;56:9114–20.
- 18. Cappon CJ, Smith JC. Chemical form and distribution of mercury and selenium in edible seafood. J Anal Toxicol 1982;6:10–21.
- Díiz Huerta V, Fernández Sánchez ML, Sanz-Medel A. Quantitative selenium speciation in cod muscle by isotope dilution ICP-MS with a reaction cell: comparison of different reported extraction procedures. J Anal At Spectrom 2004;19:644–8.
- Crews HM, Clarke PA, Lewis DL, Owen LM, Strutt PR, Izquierdo A. Investigation of selenium speciation in *in vitro* gastrointestinal extracts of cooked cod by high-performance liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and electrospray mass spectrometry. J Anal At Spectrom 1996;11:1177–82.
- Reyes LH, Mar JL, Rahman GM, Seybert B, Fahrenholz T, Kingston HM. Simultaneous determination of arsenic and selenium species in

fish tissues using microwave-assisted enzymatic extraction and ion chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Talanta 2009;78:983-90.

- 22. George GN, Singh SP, Prince RC, Pickering IJ. Chemical forms of mercury and selenium in fish following digestion with simulated gastric fluid. Chem Res Toxicol 2008;21:2106-10.
- 23. Bierla K, Dernovics M, Vaccina V, Szpunar J, Bertin G, Lobinski R. Determination of selenocysteine and selenomethionine in edible animal tissues by 2D size-exclusion reversed-phase HPLC-ICP MS following carbamidomethylation and proteolytic extraction. Anal Bioanal Chem 2008;390:1789-98.
- 24. Li H-F, McGrath SP, Zhao F-J. Selenium uptake, translocation and speciation in wheat supplied with selenate or selenite. New Phytol 2008:178:92-102
- 25. Bergdahl IA. Fractionation of soluble selenium compounds from fish using size-exclusion chromatography with on-line detection by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Analyst (Lond) 1999; 124:1435-8.
- 26. Steen A, Strom T, Bernhoft A. Organic selenium supplementation increased selenium concentrations in ewe and newborn lamb blood and in slaughter lamb meat compared to inorganic selenium supplementation. Acta Vet Scand 2008;50:7.
- 27. Juniper DT, Phipps RH, Ramos-Morales E, Bertin G. Effect of dietary supplementation with selenium-enriched yeast or sodium selenite on selenium distribution and meat quality in beef cattle. J Anim Sci 2008; 86:3100-9.
- Butler JA, Thomson CD, Whanger PD, Robinson MF. Selenium dis-28. tribution in blood fractions of New Zealand women taking organic or inorganic selenium. Am J Clin Nutr 1991;53:748-54.
- 29. Brown KM, Pickard K, Nicol F, Beckett GJ, Duthie GG, Arthur JR. Effects of organic and inorganic selenium supplementation on selenoenzyme activity in blood lymphocytes, granulocytes, platelets and ervthrocvtes. Clin Sci 2000;98:593-9.
- 30. Finley JW, Davis CD. Selenium (Se) from high-selenium broccoli is ulitized differently than selenite, selenate and selenomethionine, but is more effective in inhibiting colon carcinogenesis. Biofactors 2001;14: 191-6
- 31. Thomson CD, Burton CE, Robinson MF. On supplementing the selenium intake of New Zealanders. 1. Short experiments with large doses of selenite or selenomethionine. Br J Nutr 1978;39:579-87.
- 32. Schrauzer GN. Selenomethionine: a review of its nutritional significance, metabolism and toxicity. J Nutr 2000;130:1653-6.
- 33. Burk RF, Norsworthy BK, Hill KE, Motley AK, Byrne DW. Effects of chemical form of selenium on plasma biomarkers in a high-dose human supplementation trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006; 15:804-10.
- 34. Bügel S, Larsen EH, Sloth JJ, et al. Absorption, excretion, and retention of selenium from a high selenium yeast in men with a high intake of selenium. Food Nutr Res, 2008:52.
- 35. Thomson CD, Chisholm A, McLachlan SK, Campbell JM. Brazil nuts: an effective way to improve selenium status. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87: 379 - 84
- 36. Chen J, Lindmark-Mänsson H, Drevelius M, et al. Bioavailability of selenium from bovine milk as assessed in subjects with ileostomy. Eur J Clin Nutr 2004;58:350-5.
- 37. Bügel SH, Sandström B, Larsen EH. Absorption and retention of selenium from shrimps in man. J Trace Elem Med Biol 2001;14: 198-204
- 38. Hawkes WC, Alkan FZ, Oehler L. Absorption, distribution and excretion of selenium from beef and rice in healthy North American men. J Nutr 2003:133:3434-42.
- 39. Bügel S, Sandström B, Skibsted LH. Pork meat: a good source of selenium? J Trace Elem Med Biol 2004;17:307-11.
- 40. Fairweather-Tait SJ. Bioavailability of selenium. Eur J Clin Nutr 1997; $51 \cdot S20 - 3$
- 41. Kryukov GV, Castellano S, Novoselov SV, et al. Characterization of mammalian selenoproteomes. Science 2003;300:1439-43.
- 42. Castellano S, Gladyshev VN, Guigo R, Berry MJ. SelenoDB 1.0: a database of selenoprotein genes, proteins and SECIS elements. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:D332-8.
- 43. Flohe L, Gunzler WA, Schock HH. Glutathione peroxidase: a selenoenzyme. FEBS Lett 1973;32:132-4.

- 44. Chu FF, Doroshow JH, Esworthy RS. Expression, characterization, and tissue distribution of a new cellular selenium-dependent glutathione peroxidase, GSHPx-GI. J Biol Chem 1993;268:2571-6.
- 45. Takahashi K, Avissar N, Whitin J, Cohen H. Purification and characterization of human plasma glutathione peroxidase: a selenoglycoprotein distinct from the known cellular enzyme. Arch Biochem Biophys 1987:256:677-86.
- 46. Deagen JT, Butler JA, Zachara BA, Whanger PD. Determination of the distribution of selenium between glutathione peroxidase, selenoprotein P, and albumin in plasma. Anal Biochem 1993;208:176-81.
- 47. Chu FF, Esworthy RS, Doroshow JH, Doan K, Liu XF. Expression of plasma glutathione peroxidase in human liver in addition to kidney, heart, lung, and breast in humans and rodents. Blood 1992;79:3233-8.
- 48. Yamamoto Y, Takahashi K. Glutathione peroxidase isolated from plasma reduces phospholipid hydroperoxides. Arch Biochem Biophys 1993;305:541-5.
- 49. Roveri A, Casasco A, Maiorino M, Dalan P, Calligaro A, Ursini F. Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase of rat testis. Gonadotropin dependence and immunocytochemical identification. J Biol Chem 1992:267:6142-6
- 50. Roveri A, Maiorino M, Nisii C, Ursini F. Purification and characterization of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase from rat testis mitochondrial membranes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1994;1208: 211-21
- 51. Ursini F, Maiorino M, Valente M, Ferri L, Gregolin C. Purification from pig liver of a protein which protects liposomes and biomembranes from peroxidative degradation and exhibits glutathione peroxidase activity on phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxides. Biochim Biophys Acta 1982;710:197-211.
- 52. Maiorino M, Gregolin C, Ursini F. Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. Methods Enzymol 1990;186:448-57.
- 53. Bao Y, Jemth P, Mannervik B, Williamson G. Reduction of thymine hydroperoxide by phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase and glutathione transferases. FEBS Lett 1997;410:210-2.
- 54. Schnurr K, Belkner J, Ursini F, Schewe T, Kuhn H. The selenoenzyme phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase controls the activity of the 15-lipoxygenase with complex substrates and preserves the specificity of the oxygenation products. J Biol Chem 1996;271:4653-8.
- 55. Imai H, Narashima K, Arai M, Sakamoto H, Chiba N, Nakagawa Y. Suppression of leukotriene formation in RBL-2H3 cells that overexpressed phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. J Biol Chem 1998;273:1990-7.
- 56. Nam SY, Fujisawa M, Kim JS, Kurohmaru M, Hayashi Y. Expression pattern of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase messenger ribonucleic acid in mouse testis. Biol Reprod 1998;58:1272-6.
- 57. Maiorino M, Wissing JB, Brigelius-Flohe R, et al. Testosterone mediates expression of the selenoprotein PHGPx by induction of spermatogenesis and not by direct transcriptional gene activation. FASEB J 1998;12:1359-70.
- 58. Ursini F. Heim S. Kiess M. et al. Dual function of the selenoprotein PHGPx during sperm maturation. Science 1999;285:1393-6.
- 59. Foresta C, Flohe L, Garolla A, Roveri A, Ursini F, Maiorino M. Male fertility is linked to the selenoprotein phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. Biol Reprod 2002;67:967-71.
- 60. Tamura T, Stadtman TC. A new selenoprotein from human lung adenocarcinoma cells: purification, properties, and thioredoxin reductase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:1006-11.
- 61. Sun QA, Wu Y, Zappacosta F, et al. Redox regulation of cell signaling by selenocysteine in mammalian thioredoxin reductases. J Biol Chem 1999;274:24522-30.
- 62. Arthur JR, Nicol F, Hutchinson AR, Beckett GJ. The effects of selenium depletion and repletion on the metabolism of thyroid hormones in the rat. J Inorg Biochem 1990;39:101-8.
- 63. Berry MJ, Banu L, Larsen PR. Type I iodothyronine deiodinase is a selenocysteine-containing enzyme. Nature 1991;349:438-40.
- 64. Berry MJ, Larsen PR. The role of selenium in thyroid hormone action. Endocr Rev 1992;13:207-19.
- 65. Arthur JR, Nicol F, Beckett GJ. Selenium deficiency, thyroid hormone metabolism, and thyroid hormone deiodinases. Am J Clin Nutr 1993; 57:236S-9S.
- 66. Davey JC, Becker KB, Schneider MJ, St Germain DL, Galton VA. Cloning of a cDNA for the type II iodothyronine deiodinase. J Biol Chem 1995;270:26786-9.

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

慾

- Arthur JR, Beckett GJ. New metabolic roles for selenium. Proc Nutr Soc 1994;53:615–24.
- Arthur JR, Bermano G, Mitchell JH, Hesketh JE. Regulation of selenoprotein gene expression and thyroid hormone metabolism. Biochem Soc Trans 1996;24:384–8.
- Burk RF, Hill KE. Selenoprotein P: a selenium-rich extracellular glycoprotein. J Nutr 1994;124:1891–7.
- Saito Y, Takahashi K. Characterization of selenoprotein P as a selenium supply protein. Eur J Biochem 2002;269:5746–51.
- Harrison I, Littlejohn D, Fell GS. Distribution of selenium in human blood plasma and serum. Analyst 1996;121:189–94.
- Burk RF, Hill KE. Selenoprotein P-Expression, functions, and roles in mammals. Biochim Biophys Acta (Epub ahead of print 1 April 2009).
- 73. Saito Y, Hayashi T, Tanaka A, et al. Selenoprotein P in human plasma as an extracellular phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase. Isolation and enzymatic characterization of human selenoprotein P. J Biol Chem 1999;274:2866–71.
- Vendeland SC, Beilstein MA, Chen CL, Jensen ON, Barofsky E, Whanger PD. Purification and properties of selenoprotein W from rat muscle. J Biol Chem 1993;268:17103–7.
- Whanger PD. Selenoprotein W: a review. Cell Mol Life Sci 2000;57: 1846–52.
- Lescure A, Deniziak M, Rederstorff M, Krol A. Molecular basis for the role of selenium in muscle development and function. Chem Biodivers 2008;5:408–13.
- Petit N, Lescure A, Rederstorff M, et al. Selenoprotein N: an endoplasmic reticulum glycoprotein with an early developmental expression pattern. Hum Mol Genet 2003;12:1045–53.
- Curran JE, Jowett JB, Elliott KS, et al. Genetic variation in selenoprotein S influences inflammatory response. Nat Genet 2005;37: 1234–41.
- 79. Gao Y, Feng HC, Walder K, et al. Regulation of the selenoprotein SelS by glucose deprivation and endoplasmic reticulum stress - SelS is a novel glucose-regulated protein. FEBS Lett 2004;563:185–90.
- Lu C, Qiu F, Zhou H, et al. Identification and characterization of selenoprotein K: an antioxidant in cardiomyocytes. FEBS Lett 2006;580: 5189–97.
- Kryukov GV, Kumar RA, Koc A, Sun Z, Gladyshev VN. Selenoprotein R is a zinc-containing stereo-specific methionine sulfoxide reductase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:4245–50.
- Lee BC, Dikiy A, Kim HY, Gladyshev VN. Functions and evolution of selenoprotein methionine sulfoxide reductases. Biochim Biophys Acta 2009:1790:1471–7.
- Panee J, Stoytcheva ZR, Liu W, Berry MJ. Selenoprotein H is a redox-sensing high mobility group family DNA-binding protein that up-regulates genes involved in glutathione synthesis and phase II detoxification. J Biol Chem 2007;282:23759–65.
- 84. Hwang DY, Sin JS, Kim MS, et al. Overexpression of human selenoprotein M differentially regulates the concentrations of antioxidants and H2O2, the activity of antioxidant enzymes, and the composition of white blood cells in a transgenic rat. Int J Mol Med 2008;21:169–79.
- Gladyshev VN, Jeang KT, Wootton JC, Hatfield DL. A new human selenium-containing protein. Purification, characterization, and cDNA sequence. J Biol Chem 1998;273:8910–5.
- Labunskyy VM, Yoo MH, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. Sep15, a thioredoxin-like selenoprotein, is involved in the unfolded protein response and differentially regulated by adaptive and acute ER stresses. Biochemistry 2009;48:8458–65.
- Xu XM, Carlson BA, Irons R, et al. Selenophosphate synthetase 2 is essential for selenoprotein biosynthesis. Biochem J 2007;404:115–20.
- Persson-Moschos M, Alfthan G, Akesson B. Plasma selenoprotein P levels of healthy males in different selenium status after oral supplementation with different forms of selenium. Eur J Clin Nutr 1998;52:363–7.

- 89. Moschos MP. Selenoprotein P. Cell Mol Life Sci 2000;57:1836-45.
- Hill KE, Xia Y, Akesson B, Boelin ME, Burk RF. Selenoprotein-P concentration in plasma is an index of selenium status in selenium-deficient and selenium-supplemented Chinese subjects. J Nutr 1996;126:138–45.
- Duffield AJ, Thomson CD, Hill KE, Williams S. An estimation of selenium requirements for New Zealanders. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;70: 896–903.
- Xia Y, Hill KE, Byrne DW, Xu J, Burk RF. Effectiveness of selenium supplements in a low-selenium area of China. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81: 829–34.
- Ashton K, Hooper L, Harvey LJ, Hurst R, Casgrain A, Fairweather-Tait SJ. Methods of assessment of selenium status in humans: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:2025S–39S.
- Combs GF Jr, Midthune DN, Patterson KY, et al. Effects of selenomethionine supplementation on selenium status and thyroid hormone concentrations in healthy adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:1808–14.
- Alfthan G, Aro A, Arvilommi H, Huttunen JK. Selenium metabolism and platelet glutathione peroxidase activity in healthy Finnish men: effects of selenium yeast, selenite, and selenate. Am J Clin Nutr 1991; 53:120–5.
- Thomson CD, Robinson MF, Campbell DR, Rea HM. Effect of prolonged supplementation with daily supplements of selenomethionine and sodium selenite on glutathione peroxidase activity in blood of New Zealand residents. Am J Clin Nutr 1982;36:24–31.
- Neve J, Vertongen F, Capel P. Selenium supplementation in healthy Belgian adults: response in platelet glutathione peroxidase activity and other blood indices. Am J Clin Nutr 1988;48:139–43.
- Thomson CD, Robinson MF, Butler JA, Whanger PD. Long-term supplementation with selenate and selenomethionine: selenium and glutathione peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.9) in blood components of New Zealand women. Br J Nutr 1993;69:577–88.
- Levander OA, Alfthan G, Arvilommi H, et al. Bioavailability of selenium to Finnish men as assessed by platelet glutathione peroxidase activity and other blood parameters. Am J Clin Nutr 1983;37:887–97.
- 100. Méplan C, Crosley LK, Nicol F, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in the human selenoprotein P gene determine the response of selenoprotein markers to selenium supplementation in a gender-specific manner (the SELGEN study). FASEB J 2007;21:3063–74.
- Méplan C, Crosley LK, Nicol F, et al. Functional effects of a common single-nucleotide polymorphism (GPX4c718t) in the glutathione peroxidase 4 gene: interaction with sex. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:1019–27.
- 102. Lei C, Niu X, Wei J, Zhu J, Zhu Y. Interaction of glutathione peroxidase-1 and selenium in endemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Clin Chim Acta 2009;399:102–8.
- 103. Jablonska E, Gromadzinska J, Reszka E, et al. Association between GPx1 Pro198Leu polymorphism, GPx1 activity and plasma selenium concentration in humans. Eur J Nutr (Epub ahead of print 5 May 2009).
- 104. Seiderer J, Dambacher J, Kühnlein B, et al. The role of the selenoprotein S (*SELS*) gene -105G>A promoter polymorphism in inflammatory bowel disease and regualtion of *SELS* gene expression in intestinal inflammation. Tissue Antigens 2007;70:238–46.
- 105. Shibata T, Arisawa T, Tahara T, et al. Selenoprotein S (SEPS1) gene -105G>A promoter polymorphism influences the susceptibility to gastric cancer in the Japanese population. BMC Gastroenterol 2009; 9:2.
- 106. Bermano G, Pagmantidis V, Holloway N, et al. Evidence that a polymorphism within the 3'UTR of glutathione peroxidase 4 is functional and is associated with susceptibility to colorectal cancer. Genes Nutr. 2007;2:225–32.
- 107. van Ommen B, Fairweather-Tait S, Freidig A, Kardinaal A, Scalbert A, Wopereis S. A network biology model of micronutrient related health. Br J Nutr 2008;99(suppl 3):S72–80.

慾

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

Erratum

Fairweather-Tait SJ, Collings R, Hurst R. Selenium bioavailability: current knowledge and future research. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91(suppl):1484S–91S.

In the print version of this article, the term "selenium-methylselenocysteine" appears in error. The correct term, as provided by the authors, is "Se-methylselenocysteine." This error occurs in Table 1 on page 1486S, in the legend to Figure 1 on page 1487S, and in text on page 1485S. The correct term appears in the online version.

doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.30169.

Erratum

Morris MS, Jacques PF, Rosenberg IH, Selhub J. Circulating unmetabolized folic acid and 5-methyltetrahydrofolate in relation to anemia, macrocytosis, and cognitive test performance in American seniors. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91:1733–44.

On page 1735, the last sentence of the first paragraph of the section entitled "Classification of subjects according to vitamin B-12 status and folate fractions" contains a detection limit. The published detection limit for the assay is 0.18 nmol/L, not 0.027 nmol/L. The incorrect limit was also given in the footnotes to Table 1 and Table 4. There were 7 eligible subjects with measured serum folic acid concentrations between 0 and 0.18 nmol/L who were included in the group with detectable unmetabolized folic acid. In addition, in Table 4, the multivariate model was not specified for the row labeled "Mean cell volume." The results in that row were controlled for sex, age, race-ethnicity, current smoking, current alcohol intake, BMI, self-reported cancer history, and serum concentrations of ferritin, cystatin C, and C-reactive protein.

doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.30170.

Erratum

Yatabe MS, Yatabe J, Yoneda M, et al. Salt sensitivity is associated with insulin resistance, sympathetic overactivity, and decreased suppression of circulating renin activity in lean patients with essential hypertension. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:77–82.

In the print version of this article, 2 lines appear for each group represented in Figure 7; however, only one line should appear for each group. The correct version of Figure 7 appears below. The online version of this figure is correct.



FIGURE 7. Relation between glucose infusion rate and change in urinary norepinephrine (Δ u-NE) from the low- to high-salt diet in salt-resistant (SR) subjects (n = 14, open circles) and salt-sensitive (SS) subjects (n = 10, filled circles) with essential hypertension. Statistical significance was estimated with Pearson's correlation coefficient.

doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.30173.